

**From:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 3/17/2012 8:03:20 AM  
**To:** Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Re: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

Indeed.

---

**From:** Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US  
**Date:** 03/17/2012 08:02 AM  
**Subject:** Re: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

I'm sure the word science will be used in any response even if not really considered..... Such as "we are following best science and the law..."

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Richard Rupert  
**Sent:** 03/17/2012 07:57 AM EDT  
**To:** Dennis Carney  
**Cc:** "gerald heston" <gerald.heston@epa.gov>; "richard fetzer" <richard.fetzer@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling  
 OK....

I hope they will consider the science when they respond...

---

Richard Rupert,  
 On-Scene Coordinator  
 U.S. EPA  
 1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 (215) 814-3463 - office  
 215 514-8773 - mobile  
 rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."  
 Colin Powell

**From:** Dennis Carney/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US, "richard fetzer" <richard.fetzer@epa.gov>, "gerald heston" <gerald.heston@epa.gov>, "dennis carney" <dennis.carney@epa.gov>  
**Date:** 03/17/2012 07:55 AM  
**Subject:** Re: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

No sense us worrying about what to say. HQ has already scheduled a meeting for first thing Monday morning to discuss what else the Agency may want to say. They too are I think a little surprised at the intensity of the responses/questions.

**From:** Richard Rupert  
**Sent:** 03/16/2012 11:19 PM EDT  
**To:** richard.fetzer@epa.gov; gerald.heston@epa.gov; dennis.carney@epa.gov  
**Subject:** Fw: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

# Ex. 5 - Deliberative

---

Richard Rupert,  
 On-Scene Coordinator  
 U.S. EPA  
 1650 Arch Street - 3HS31  
 Philadelphia, PA 19103  
 (215) 814-3463 - office  
 215 514-8773 - mobile  
 rupert.richard@epa.gov

"The commander in the field is always right and the rear echelon is wrong, unless proved otherwise."  
 Colin Powell

----- Forwarded by Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US on 03/16/2012 11:15 PM -----

**From:** Shawn Garvin/R3/USEPA/US  
**To:** Roy Seneca/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Kathy Hodgkiss/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Daniel Ryan/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, William Early/R3/USEPA/US, Richard Rupert/R3/USEPA/US, Michael DAndrea/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Cc:** "Ron Borsellino" <borsellino.ron@epa.gov>, "Dennis Carney" <carney.dennis@epa.gov>, Cecil Rodrigues/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Cynthia Metzger/ESC/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Driscoll Stacie" <Driscoll.Stacie@epa.gov>, "duteau helen" <duteau.helen@epa.gov>, Ellen Schmitt/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Fran Burns/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Gerald Heston/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Heather Gray/R3/USEPA/US, "hodgkiss kathy" <hodgkiss.kathy@epa.gov>, Humane Zia/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Jennie Saxe/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Joan Schafer/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, John Pomponio/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, JohnJ Butler/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "johnson Karend" <johnson.Karend@epa.gov>, Jon Capacasa/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "krakowiak john" <krakowiak.john@epa.gov>, Michael Kulik/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, "Roy Seneca" <seneca.roy@epa.gov>, "taylor trish" <taylor.trish@epa.gov>, Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Victoria Binetti/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, Walter Wilkie/R3/USEPA/US@EPA, William Arguto/R3/USEPA/US@EPA  
**Date:** 03/16/2012 09:57 PM  
**Subject:** Re: WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

Same questions. Thanks

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Roy Seneca  
**Sent:** 03/16/2012 09:46 PM EDT

**To:** Kathy Hodgkiss; Shawn Garvin; Daniel Ryan; William Early; Richard Rupert; Michael Dandrea

**Cc:** Ron Borsellino <borsellino.ron@epa.gov>; Dennis Carney <carney.dennis@epa.gov>; Cecil Rodrigues; Cynthia Metzger; Driscoll.Stacie@epa.gov; duteau.helen@epa.gov; Ellen Schmitt; Fran Burns; Gerald Heston; Heather Gray; hodgkiss.kathy@epa.gov; Humane Zia; Jennie Saxe; Joan Schafer; John Pomponio; JohnJ Butler; johnson.Karend@epa.gov; Jon Capacasa; krakowiak.john@epa.gov; Michael Kulik; Roy Seneca <seneca.roy@epa.gov>; taylor.trish@epa.gov; Terri-A White; Victoria Binetti; Walter Wilkie; William Arguto

**Subject:** WNEP-TV Scranton (3-16) Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

[http://www.wnep.com/wnep-susq-dimock-residents-question-epas-water-ruling-20120316\\_0,6916889,print.story](http://www.wnep.com/wnep-susq-dimock-residents-question-epas-water-ruling-20120316_0,6916889,print.story)

## Dimock Residents Question EPA's Water Ruling

By Jon Meyer

The federal EPA has come back with its water test results on some homes in Dimock, Susquehanna County and now those homeowners are sorting through those results.

Carter Road in Dimock is at the center of a national focus on natural gas drilling's impact on drinking water. The EPA's first ruling is that the water at those homes is safe to drink.

A contractor for the Environmental Protection Agency delivered water Friday to Scott Ely's house on Carter Road in the Dimock area.

The EPA has been doing that since it started testing well water there in January and will continue for now, even though a spokesperson now said initial test results show the water there is safe to drink.

"Why would they make a statement that all our water is drinkable and not only do we have limits that are above and beyond, we have stuff that doesn't even belong in our water," Ely asked.

Inside the house Ely and his neighbor were sorting through all those test results.

Some numbers are highlighted showing the presence of some problem chemicals.

"The bottom line is we've had issues with our water and why EPA would come out and say our water is drinkable, it just baffles me," Ely added.

"You think nothing's wrong with this water up here in Dimock, you come up to any house up here and drink this water," said Carter Road resident Ray Kemble.

Up the road, Jean and Ron Carter are confused by their results too.

The EPA said there was some contamination that turned up in wells. That is highlighted. But the EPA said those levels are in a safe range for drinking.

"I'm baffled. I don't know what to say. I don't know what to think. I don't understand it," Carter said.

The Carters' well and others on the road have been tested many times since they claim Cabot Oil and Gas contaminated the water three years ago.

Tests then showed it was unsafe. Cabot was ordered to deliver water for a while.

The state said that could stop in December. The EPA stepped in with water delivery and testing.

Now the federal experts say the water is okay.

"We want it to be safe. If they can guarantee me that it's safe to drink, I want them to come in and drink it and I'll drink it with them," Carter added.

Th EPA said it will do more tests at homes where certain contaminants showed up in this initial testing to make sure they remain at safe levels.

The initial results were from 11 homes.

The EPA is also testing 50 other homes in the surrounding area.

